



Creative Collaboration Between Chevron and CSUB:

Research Experience Vitalizing Science – University Program

Jianjun "JJ" Wang

July 1, 2013

Acknowledgements

This evaluation report is built on an extensive collection of multilevel data from various sources at CSUB and local schools. As the REVS-UP evaluator, I thank Professor Andreas Gebauer for providing accurate and timely responses to all my queries. Ms. Andrea Medina also played an important role in supporting the data collection. Mr. Brian Chen, Dr. John Dirkse, Ms. Cheryl Holsonbake, Dean Kathleen Knutzen, and Mr. Ben Perlado all supported my access to the iStrategy information online. I also thank REVS-UP participants and local school principals for their prompt responses to my data collection requests.

Last but not the least, I extend my deep appreciation to my family members, especially my first and second daughters (Libing and Summer) who assisted with the data collection and proofread multiple drafts of this report. While this report was built on the collective efforts, I conducted the data analyses, and shall take the full responsibility for any inaccuracies in the results.

Jianjun "JJ" Wang, Ph.D. Professor

Table of Content

Abstract	4
Innovative Approach to STEM Education	5
Research Questions	
Methodology	9
Findings	12
Q1. Did REVS-UP attract qualified high school students to STEM fields?	13
Q2. Were those students highly motivated during project collaboration?	16
Q3. How successful was REVS-UP on college preparation?	18
Q4. In what aspects did REVS-UP strengthen professional development of K-12 teachers?	22
Q5. How effective did CSUB student assistants perform as REVS-UP partners?	25
Q6. How well did professors demonstrate academic leadership in STEM research?	, 28
Q7. In what areas did REVS-UP show continuous improvement over past six years?	,, 31
Q8. What progress has been made to sustain REVS-UP impact in K-12 settings?	40
Q9. What recommendations can be made to extend success of REVS-UP beyond this summative evaluation?	46
References	51

Creative Collaboration Between Chevron and CSUB:

Research Experience Vitalizing Science – University Program

Abstract

Since 2007, Chevron has funded the Research Experience Vitalizing Science – University Program (REVS-UP), which lasts four weeks each summer to develop Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) projects at CSUB. Over the past six years, a total of 26 STEM professors have led the completion of 113 research projects with the support of 90 CSUB student assistants, 384 high school students, and 84 teachers from K-12 schools. The hands-on research experience has rejuvenated student interest in STEM discoveries and enhanced subject competency for K-12 teachers. In addition, professors received the team support to fulfill their research agendas, and CSUB student assistants gained service-learning experiences. This report concludes with five recommendations to sustain the trend of program enhancement.

Creative Collaboration Between Chevron and CSUB: Research Experience Vitalizing Science – University Program

California State University, Bakersfield (CSUB) and Chevron Corporation have established a partnership since 2007 to offer Research Experience Vitalizing Science – University Program (REVS-UP) for high school students in Kern County. Spreading across a region as large as the state of New Jersey, Kern County relies on CSUB as the only state university to support public higher education in its culturally diversified *mountain, desert*, and *valley* communities. REVS-UP has been designed to use the university capacity to expand research opportunities for high school students. The program lasts four weeks each summer to develop Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) projects led by CSUB professors. Meanwhile, K-12 teachers are invited to enhance professional development in STEM education. The research team also includes CSUB student assistants to strengthen servicelearning experiences and act as role models for high school students. The research-based collaboration not only creates a partnership beyond K-12 education, but also conforms to the virtue of STEM inquiries advocated by national standards of professional organizations (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2000; National Research Council, 2011a).

Innovative Approach to STEM Education

Although mediocre performance of American students has been reported at K-12 levels from international testing (see Martin, Mullis, Foy, & Stanco, 2012; Mullis, Martin, Foy, & Arora, 2012), the "crisis" in STEM education does not seem to impact the vitality of U.S. economy in global market competition (Berliner, 2013). In part, this is because "high school students are encouraged to dig into the rich mine of knowledge from college curricula" (Wang, 2013, p. 13). Concurrent college enrollment of high school students has allowed U.S. students to have an early start in higher education (Berliner & Biddle, 1995). In contrast, high performing countries in East Asia confine student learning within the boundary of topics covered by college entrance examinations. Therefore, international reports of student performance have been downplayed by education researchers due to their inability to reflect the enrichment of STEM education beyond K-12 settings (Ravitch, 2013; Rotberg, 1991).

REVS-UP is built on the strength of concurrent enrollment to engage high school students in inquiry-based learning. As suggested by Julio Blanco, CSUB Dean of Natural Science and Mathematics, "The way you learn science is not by reading about science but by doing science"^[1]. Research projects developed from REVS-UP are grounded on mathematical and scientific explorations. When the U.S. economy entered a recession in 2007, politicians attempted to use standardized test scores to justify relentless budget cuts on education. This "quick fix" has skewed curriculum alignment toward specific tests, and thus, deprived student interest in open-ended STEM explorations. As Sadler, Sonnert, Hazari, and Tai (2012) observed,

Preparing students for careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) is at the forefront of K-12 educational concerns in the United States. ... Adding urgency to this concern is the fact that some STEM fields have suffered from declining student interest. (p. 412)

To address this issue, REVS-UP has taken an innovative approach to rejuvenate student interest in STEM discoveries. Through the team effort, REVS-UP has supported CSUB professors to fulfill their research agenda and created a new community of learners each summer. In particular, high school students earned university credits for working on REVS-UP projects,

[1] http://www.turnto23.com/news/your-neighborhood/southwest-county/csub-receives-500k-from-chevron-for-stem-programs

CSUB student assistants gained service-learning experiences, and K-12 teachers developed STEM subject competency to strengthen education quality for the general public.

Revitalizing STEM education is crucial to maintaining U.S. preeminence in the 21st century (President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, 2012). It has been estimated that one million more college graduates are needed across the nation in the next decade. "At current rates, American colleges and universities will graduate about three million STEM majors over the next decade, so an increase of one million would require a whopping 33-percent increase" (Gates & Mirkin, 2012, p. 1). The key national interest has been addressed in REVS-UP by attracting local students to STEM disciplines before their entrance into college. In addition, state figures showed that only 12.1% of Kern County high school juniors and seniors took Advanced Placement (AP) exam(s) in 2006-07, far below the state average of 22.4% ^[2]. Therefore, this program is much-needed for college-bound students to expand their advanced learning opportunities in this region.

Besides preparing STEM majors, "Personal and societal decisions in the 21st century increasingly require scientific and technological understanding. ... Targeting all students, not just those who will pursue postsecondary education or careers in STEM or STEM-related fields, will better prepare citizens to face the challenges of a science- and technology-driven society" (National Research Council, 2011b, p. 5). Through a balanced program design, REVS-UP has demonstrated its creativity in meeting the dual needs of STEM education – The inclusion of K-12 teachers directly facilitates compulsory education for local citizens, and the internship opportunities are offered to CSUB student assistants to benefit those who have already declared a major in STEM fields.

^[2] see 2012 annual REVS-UP report, p. x.

Since local students are more likely to seek employment in the same region, community leaders recognize the vital importance of supporting STEM education at CSUB. In particular, Chevron has been headquartered in California for 130 years. Over the past six years, the company leadership repeatedly confirmed its commitment to supporting STEM education. One Vice President acknowledged that "Chevron depends on a workforce skilled in math and science" ^[3], and another Vice President echoed that "It's absolutely critical for companies like Chevron to have a steady pipeline of young engineers and scientists in all the places we do business so we are always looking for effective ways to stimulate that interest"^[4]. CSUB embraced the same dedication to STEM education, and endorsed a strategic initiative to extend excellence in mathematics and science^[5]. The shared vision has forged a private and public coalition between Chevron and CSUB to sustain funding of REVS-UP over a seven-year period during 2007-2013.

Research Questions

While new STEM projects are being developed in 2013, past research outcomes have been tracked online, and the trend data show completion of 113 STEM research presentations during 2007-2012 (http://www.csub.edu/stem/). Built on the ongoing program documentation, this report focuses on summative evaluation of REVS-UP since 2007. Three cohorts of research questions have been developed for this investigation:

- I. Concurrent Enrollment of High School Students
 - 1. Did REVS-UP attract qualified high school students to STEM fields?
 - 2. Were those students highly motivated during project collaboration?

[4] http://www.bakersfieldvoice.com/content/research-abounds-summer-through-fifth-annual-revs-program

^[3] http://www.calstate.edu/newsline/2007/n20070223bak1.shtml

^[5] http://www.csub.edu/stem/Report2007.pdf

- 3. How successful was REVS-UP on college preparation?
- II. Systematic Support from Other REVS-UP Participants
 - 4. In what aspects did REVS-UP strengthen professional development of K-12 teachers?
 - 5. How effective did CSUB student assistants perform as REVS-UP partners?

6. How well did professors demonstrate academic leadership in STEM research?

III. Sustainable Trends in Capacity Building

- 7. In what areas did REVS-UP show continuous improvement over past six years?
- 8. What progress has been made to sustain REVS-UP impact in K-12 settings?
- 9. What recommendations can be made to extend success of REVS-UP beyond this summative evaluation?

These questions are designed to comprehensively address the needs of this summative evaluation. According to Maduas, Stufflebeam, and Scriven (2000), "Ultimately the value of program evaluation must be judged in terms of its actual and potential contributions to improving learning, teaching, and administration" (p. 18). The *learning* aspect has been examined by three questions in Cohort I. The *teaching* component is supported by evaluation of systematic support from other REVS-UP participants (Cohort II). The third cohort of questions is developed to facilitate improvement of the program *administration*. Altogether this evaluation report focuses on *what works, for whom*, and *in what context* in order to sustain the ongoing trend of program enhancement.

Methodology

To address these wide-ranging questions, qualitative and quantitative data have been gathered from multiple sources, including past annual reports, student transcripts, professor vitae, program records, as well as surveys and interviews of REVS-UP participants. Whenever possible, data accuracy has been verified through result triangulation. In particular, the cumulative number of REVS-UP participants has been validated according to project assignment forms, STEM course enrollments, and past program reports. While the enrollment figures provide baseline information, program completer counts are confirmed by STEM project presentations in annual reports. Likewise, high school GPA information has been corroborated across applicant databases, phone interviews, and school counselor feedback. College admission results are based on high school exit surveys, student questionnaires, and e-mail communications with school principals and parents.

The extensive effort is built on the collaborative support of key stakeholders. REVS-UP Director, Professor Andreas Gebauer of Chemistry, remains at the forefront of technology advancement, and incorporates an electronic dropbox to enhance the security of document collection. He also developed a useful query link on the iStrategy platform to track aggregation of student demographic data (gender and ethnicity) across the past six years. The program coordinator, Ms. Andrea Medina, ran the daily operation of REVS-UP, and established a database to ensure timely distribution of teacher and student questionnaires. She gathered vitae files from K-12 teachers and CSUB professors, and played a pivotal role in monitoring the data collection. In addition, two REVS-UP alumni extended their assistance to contact past participants through various channels, including personal e-mails, Facebook, and LinkedIn. CSUB's Institutional Research Office (IRO) and Computer User Support Division also created an iStrategy account for record gathering from the university PeopleSoft system. To figure out the eventual college-going rate, IRO also submitted a search task to the *StudentTracker* system to identify past REVS-UP participants from National Student Clearing House. Those efforts played an important role in overcoming several barriers to data gathering. First, academic quality of high school students could be represented by GPA. But not all schools were willing to provide the information, and one principal indicated that "I am not sure if we are allowed to release the information without consent." Instead of collecting the information from the high school site, Question 1 of this report is addressed through an analysis of REVS-UP applicant information from the CSUB side. While the application itself might serve as an indicator of student motivation, more explicit measures have been incorporated in survey questionnaires to gather the pertinent information for Question 2. Because a good portion of REVS-UP participants have yet to go to college, data filtering has been conducted for those past students eligible for college admission, and an intensive effort has been made to reduce the rate of missing data at the level of past high school students.

Recent changes also demand additional attention on the data tracking. Living in highneeds school districts, some teachers and CSUB student assistants moved to other locations and/or took different jobs during the economic recession. Meanwhile, CSUB endured constant budget cuts since 2007, and was forced to reduce its staff. The REVS-UP coordinator assumed the current position in 2010, and has been responsible for multiple projects since then. After the initial questionnaire distribution, the coordinator followed up with two rounds of e-mails to collect responses pertinent to Questions 4 and 5 of this report. Similarly, quantitative data from project evaluation are incorporated with document analyses of faculty vitae to demonstrate academic leadership of CSUB professors in STEM inquiries (Question 6).

According to Tom Angelo (1999), former director of the national assessment forum, "Though accountability matters, learning still matters most" (¶. 1). To sustain the trend of capacity building, a relatively new research method named "social network analysis" has been employed to disentangle variable relationships for continuous improvement (Question 7). Evaluation of Question 8 is focused on the sustainable impact of REVS-UP outcomes, such as the development of STEM lab manuals and curriculum materials, in K-12 settings. This report concludes with future recommendations for improvement of REVS-UP effectiveness beyond the existing accomplishments (Question 9).

Stufflebeam and Webster (1984) pointed out, "Question-oriented studies are so labeled because they start with a particular question and then move to the methodology appropriate for answering that question" (p. 27). In this report, research methods have been designed to conform to professional standards. For more than three decades, the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation advocated four essential attributes that characterize good evaluation projects, *utility, feasibility, propriety*, and *accuracy* (Yarbrough, Shulha, Hopson, & Caruthers, 2010). In this REVS-UP evaluation report, the *utility* standard has been addressed by development of research questions for improving learning, teaching, and program administration. The *feasibility* standard is justified by credibility of the evaluation procedure in a realistic setting. In addition, consent requirement and data security have been considered in human right protection according to the *propriety* standard. Finally, result triangulations have been incorporated to enhance alignment with the *accuracy* standard.

Findings

In describing "models, metaphors, and definitions in evaluation", Madaus and Kellaghan (2000) pointed out,

Which model then should we choose for the evaluation of our education projects? The emphatic answer is, "None of the above; that's the wrong question to ask." Rather than starting from a pet approach, we should begin with a consideration of the evaluation questions that could be addressed, the issues that must be addressed, and the available resources. (p. 25)

In this report, nine questions were derived to address REVS-UP outcomes pertinent to *learning*, *teaching*, and *program administration*. Key issues have been examined in the *methodology* section, and available resources have been employed to support multilevel data collection.

"The purposes of outcome evaluation/value-added assessment systems are to provide direction for policymaking, accountability to constituents, and feedback for improving program and services" (Stufflebeam, 2000, p. 45). At the core of the REVS-UP initiative is the concurrent enrollment of high school students in a college setting. While high school records set a baseline, college performance represents outcome measures for value-added assessment. To facilitate the result tracking, quantitative and qualitative findings have been organized according to the original sequence of research questions.

Q1. Did REVS-UP attract qualified students to STEM fields?

Although most participants in 2011 and 2012 are still in high school, Figure 1 shows a steady increase of REVS-UP application each year. As the program entry becomes more competitive, REVS-UP has developed a reputation as a "must do" program for high school students in Kern County.



Figure 1: Trend of Increase in REVS-UP Applications

Along with the expansion of applicant pool is a growing demand to select qualified students from local schools. French, Homer, and Robins (2010) maintained that "high school GPA is a positive and statistically significant predictor of educational attainment and earnings in adulthood" (p. 2). Figure 2 indicates that REVS-UP participants have been maintaining an average GPA above 3.5 over past six years. Thus, the increase of student applications has not compromised REVS-UP's original purpose of attracting qualified students. During 2007-12, 384 high school students participated in REVS-UP. Excluding the portion of students currently enrolled in high school, 257 students have reached a point beyond high school graduation.

Figure 2: Trend of Applicant GPA During 2007-2012



While high school records are confirmed by information from application forms, a study of college preparation hinges on the articulation of GPA results in higher education. In this regard, REVS-UP acts as a Summer Bridge program between secondary and tertiary education. As one of the K-12 teachers acknowledged, "The most rewarding aspect of REVS-UP is to see high school students opening up their view of science and math on the college level." Despite the difficulty of student tracking, 179 of the past REVS-UP participants are confirmed in college. Since the remaining participants are unreachable through the past records, a conservative consideration is to leave them in a non-college bound category. Even with this conservative estimate, the college-going rate for REVS-UP participants has reached 70%, more than doubling of the state-reported 33.2% college-going rate for Kern County^[6].

^[6] http://www.cpec.ca.gov/StudentData/CACGRCounty.asp).

Although REVS-UP was primarily designed to attract students to STEM fields, non-STEM majors may also benefit from the enhancement of scientific literacy and/or mathematical proficiency to face the challenges of a science- and technology-driven society. Figure 3 shows that 102 out of the 179 college-bound students have chosen a STEM major, and 29 participants indicated a non-STEM major.



Figure 3: Partition of REVS-UP Respondents By College Majors

Interview results further indicate the impact of REVS-UP on student career choice. One

past student recollected,

Before attending this program, I was indecisive about my future, and I was about to enter my junior year in high school. Since this program, I have graduated early, tested into CSUB, and I am now on my way to being a natural products chemist and a pharmaceutical rep.

Another prospective student of CSUB concurred,

What I experienced in REVS UP has encouraged me to continue pursuing an education in engineering after graduation from Bakersfield High School in 2014. CSUB has been one of my first college choices and it would be wonderful to be part of the School of Engineering. I find the working environment of college classes much more rewarding than high school and I look forward to encountering it again.

In summary, "Correlational evidence suggests that high school GPA is better than

admission test scores in predicting first-year college GPA" (Sawyer, 2013, p. 89). REVS-UP has

been maintaining an average high school GPA above 3.5 over past six years. In addition, past

participants have demonstrated a much-higher college-going rate in contrast to the state average.

Finally, interview findings further reconfirmed the positive impact of REVS-UP on attracting the majority of participants to STEM fields.

Q2. Were high school students motivated during the project collaboration?

Motivation is a psychological construct that compels and/or reinforces an action toward a desired goal (Marsh, Hau, & Kong, 2002). In REVS-UP, the goal could be extended to future career orientation beyond the teamwork on a STEM project. More specifically, one high school student expressed an intrinsic motivation to join the Chevron workforce:

One of the reasons why I am staying here is because I know Bakersfield offers engineering internships. Not only that but, Chevron is amazing due to the fact of what they given over the past few years. I would like to work in Chevron one day if I had a chance.

Although educators found a consistent decrease in intrinsic motivation of STEM subjects

during grade 3-9 (see Harter, 1981), the result from REVS-UP has reversed the chronological

trend by rejuvenating student interest toward STEM investigations. One student recounted,

I applied and got accepted to CSU Bakersfield's STEM 2010 summer program. This program further influenced my interest in science because I was given the opportunity to participate in the chemistry segment of the program. I learned a lot of new vocabulary, and laboratory and analytical skills.

Besides those interview responses to the external evaluator, more information has been

gathered from internal REVS-UP team members. Nineteen K-12 teachers provided survey

responses, and 14 teachers reported having motivated high school students in their team (Figure

4). The result reconfirmed development of intrinsic motivation during the research process. One

teacher indicated her pleasure of "Seeing the 'light bulb' moment on the students faces", and

another teacher noted that "observing students experience scientific research was wonderful."

In comparison to intrinsic motivation, Smith (2004) asserted that "A student's response to

extrinsic motivators is a very individual thing. What works for one student won't work for

another" (¶. 5). One extrinsic motivator was the additional college credit students would earn for REVS-UP participation. A few students indicated that they were more interested in STEM learning, and neglected the college credit they could have claimed. Therefore, intrinsic motivation appeared to have played a dominant role in supporting STEM participation of high school students.



Figure 4: Teachers' Report of High School Student Motivation

It should be noted that intrinsic motivation does not always emerge as visible excitement. Figure 5 indicates that apparently "not-so-motivated" students may still serve as *good* or *very good* partners. Hence, it is the mutual reinforcement between motivation and performance that provides a sustainable support for STEM learning in REVS-UP.



Figure 5: Relationships Between Student Motivation and Partnership Performance

While stronger motivation leads to better performance, the relationship could be reciprocal as well (Marsh, Hau, & Kong, 2002; Wang, Oliver, & Staver, 2008). As Confucius

pointed out, "The more a man learns, the more he knows his ignorance"^[7]. Sensing the strong desire to learn, around 90% of the teacher respondents rated high school students as *very good* or *good* partners (Figure 6).



Figure 6: Teachers' Rating of Student Partnership

In retrospect, the first three questions are sequentially related to outcome indicators of the *entry*, *process*, and *completion* phases. Quality of the candidate pool has been examined at the *entry* stage from past high school records (Question 1), and student motivation is monitored during the REVS-UP *process* to summarize quantitative and qualitative feedback from multiple sources (Question 2). In order to assess the long-term impact beyond the program *completion*, transcript studies are conducted below to evaluate the outcomes of past participants on college preparation (Question 3).

Q3. How successful was REVS-UP on college preparation?

Extensive efforts have been made to track college attendance information of past REVS-UP participants. The program coordinator kept a complete record of past high school students since 2010, and sent out e-mails to those participants eligible for college admission. Unfortunately, 34 e-mail addresses were identified as invalid. For the period prior to 2010, phone calls were conducted by the evaluator using participant information from application

[7] http://novel.jschina.com.cn/yingyuwenxue/yinghmy/yinghaaaanmingyan15.htm, p. 1.

forms. Because most phone numbers were outdated, a decision was made to sort past participants by schools. The evaluator e-mailed high school principals to solicit information of these former graduates. Nonetheless, not all schools kept the information on the college admission of its alumni, and most schools did not know whether REVS-UP participants have entered a STEM field. Therefore, online searches were subsequently conducted through various channels, including e-mail, Facebook, and LinkedIn. As a result, 179 past participants were confirmed with college entry. Figure 7 shows the partition of their enrollment status across private and in-state schools (labeled "private"), out-state schools (labeled "OutState"), CSUB, other CSU campuses, community colleges (CC), and UC campuses.

Figure 7: Enrollment of Accessible REVS-UP Participants in Higher Education



According to the California Postsecondary Education Commission, 3.5% of Kern County high school graduates in 2007 attended a University of California (UC) campus, while the statewide figure was 7.3%^[8]. Despite the traditionally low rate of UC acceptance in Kern County, REVS-UP participants attending UCs makes up the largest group of college-bound alumni (Figure 7). Omitting potential UC attendees from the unreachable portion of past participants, 82 students in Figure 7 already account for 21% of all 384 participants over past

^[8] see 2012 annual REVS-UP report, p. ix.

six years, including those from recent years yet to complete high school. The conservative UCadmission rate for REVS-UP participants is three times of the state average rate for high school graduates, and over six times of the corresponding rate for Kern County.

Figure 7 shows CSUB as an attracting university for the second largest group of past participants (N=32). To compare student academic preparation between CSUB and other universities, high school GPAs have been averaged annually in Figure 8. The trend data indicate that CSUB admitted REVS-UP students with better high school GPAs only in 2008 (see blue line in Figure 8). When the results are aggregated across six years, the average high school GPA was 3.60 for CSUB students (see dotted blue line), and 3.83 for other university/college students (see dotted red line). The gap was statistically significant at α =.05 from an independent sample t test.



Figure 8: High School GPA Comparison Between CSUB and Other Universities

To extend the result comparison to tertiary education, college GPA information has been extracted from transcripts of past REVS-UP participants at CSUB. A single sample t test was conducted to examine whether those students performed significantly above or below the general CSUB student populations in the same period. As shown in Figure 9, REVS-UP students demonstrated significantly better performance than their peers of all undergraduate students at CSUB [t(31)=2.45, p<.05].



Figure 9: Comparison of Transcript GPA at CSUB

Although CSUB did not always attract REVS-UP participants with high GPAs in high school (Figure 8), the REVS-UP group still outperformed their counterparts without REVS-UP experiences at CSUB. Since a strong link has been found between high school GPA and college performance (Stumpf & Stanley, 2002), the conclusion on solid college preparation is likely to be generalizable to other universities that admitted REVS-UP participants with better GPA records. For instance, one parent attested that "My son was in the [REVS-UP] program. He is at Cal Poly SLO as a biochemistry major, and doing very well" (personal communication on 4/24/2013).

In combination with the multilevel findings, REVS-UP has raised the quality of college preparation according to the GPA comparisons from secondary and tertiary education.

Meanwhile, REVS-UP participants showed a much higher rate of UC admission. Hence, the positive impact of REVS-UP is extended to both quality and quantity aspects of college preparation.

Q4. In what aspects did REVS-UP strengthen professional development of K-12 teachers?

The shortage of qualified STEM teachers has been identified as the number 1 problem of U.S. education in a historical report entitled "A Nation at Risk"^[9]. Perhaps because STEM knowledge at K-12 levels is relatively simple, reforms of teacher education often place more emphasis on improving teacher attitude, rather than subject competency (e.g., McDevitt, Heikkinen, Alcorn, Ambrosio, & Gardner, 1993; Sweeting, 2011). As a consequence, when the quality of STEM education was evaluated across countries in the 1990s, "Several Chinese scholars witnessed American teachers and students carrying out the wrong scientific experiments and calculations with great enthusiasm" (Su, Su, & Goldstein, 1994, p. 260).

After entering the 21st century, the issue of teacher education remained widespread in STEM fields. According to the California Council on Science and Technology^[10], 10% of all secondary school teachers lacked appropriate qualifications as of the 2002-2003 school year. The misalignment between teaching and training is a phenomenon that has been confirmed in REVS-UP. Figure 10 is based on interview findings from a sample of 19 teacher participants, and the results show four of the STEM teachers possessing no bachelor degree in their respective subject fields. Thus, subject competency remains a critical area of teacher improvement in Kern County.

^[9] see http://mathcurriculumcenter.org/PDFS/CCM/summaries/NationAtRisk.pdf, p. 2.[10] see http://www.csub.edu/csubnews/2007/winter/chevron.shtml



Figure 10: Fields of Bachelor Degree Among Teacher Respondents

To address the local need, REVS-UP demonstrated its effectiveness in engaging local STEM teachers in professional development. One teacher reported, "I enjoyed REVS-UP. I gained new knowledge in my subject area and improved my lab skills, invigorating my teaching and getting advice and help with lab equipment." Another teacher echoed, "I think education is an ongoing process. Teachers need to seek further education in their care subject. Greater knowledge = greater teaching skills." Improvement of teacher preparation is not confined to retention of existing knowledge, but also includes generation of new research outcomes. One teacher recollected,

We were able to publish REVS-UP findings in two scientific journals and make five poster presentations at regional and national conferences. We participated in cutting-edge STEM research that has already taken place at CSUB. We also helped the development of experiments and lesson plans for K-12 STEM teaching.

In addition to the strengthening of subject competency, REVS-UP has extended its

impact on the development of positive attitudes among K-12 teachers. One teacher

acknowledged that "REVS-UP has motivated me to teach to a greater depth and do more hands-

on work." Another teacher indicated that "REVS-UP has renewed my interest in science

investigation, not just teaching." The improvement of teacher performance has become so

evident at the program level, and one high school student projected that "I anticipate participating in the REVS-UP program in the future to become a better teacher."

While attitude and achievement are dual aspects of the program outcomes from K-12 teachers, Stufflebeam and Shinkfield (2007) cautioned that "Too often, summative evaluation is carried out only for judging programs or personnel. This restricts development processes and may lead to inadequate or even incorrect conclusions" (p. 24). To track the development process, teacher vitae is reviewed from REVS-UP application files to identify the baseline conditions. Directions of professional development are classified on the STEM discipline lines, and have been represented by red diamond symbols in Figure 11. In addition, color codes have been incorporated to differentiate education status of teachers at Bachelor (blue), Master (green), and doctoral (brown) levels. The STEM learning experiences are depicted in a network plot using *NetDraw*, a computer software package for social network analysis (SNA) (Borgatti, 2002).



Figure 11: Program Match Between Teacher's STEM Training and REVS-UP Participation

Figure 1 shows variation of the network density across subject domains. While mathematics is a separate subject, *science* is divided into biology, chemistry, geology, and physics. Although no teacher indicated concurrent participation in both biology and physics projects, a couple of teachers took part in STEM projects across multiple disciplines (see the circled area). Biology, mathematics, and physics projects have also attracted teachers from non-STEM majors. Among the 19 teacher participants, 11 gained interdisciplinary learning experiences through REVS-UP. The remaining teachers pursued knowledge enrichment in their initial fields of STEM education. Despite the relatively small sample size, Figure 11 demonstrates extensive learning opportunities for K-12 teachers to strengthen their STEM knowledge in REVS-UP.

California State University (CSU) has been the largest producer of mathematics and science teachers in the state. At the inception of REVS-UP, it was projected that the demand for new STEM teachers in California would exceed 22,000 during 2007-2012^[11]. Guided by the commitment of the CSU system to teacher preparation, REVS-UP has illustrated its sustainable mechanism to enhance teacher education on multiple aspects, including knowledge acquisition, research dissemination, and attitude improvement. These findings have been triangulated by quantitative and qualitative data from teacher interviews, questionnaire responses, vitae analyses, project profiling, and SNA plotting. Because teacher quality is one of the most important determinants of student achievement, feedback from high school students has also been cited in this section to confirm the benefits of professional development for K-12 teachers in REVS-UP.

Q5. How effective did CSUB student assistants perform as REVS-UP partners?

David Hamburg, President of the Carnegie Corporation of America, pointed out, "Every [11] http://www.calstate.edu/newsline/2007/n20070223bak1.shtml college and university in the nation should have a strong, substantive, explicit, functional linkage with schools in its geographical area"^[12]. REVS-UP has done this by establishing a sustainable platform to connect CSUB and local schools. In addition to enriching learning opportunities for high school students and K-12 teachers, the program involved CSUB student assistants to facilitate STEM project development. According Campus Compact (2013), "College students make excellent mentors [for high school students] because they are close enough in age to young people to establish strong relationships, yet mature enough to offer guidance" (¶. 10).

The effect of role modeling is demonstrated by its support in strengthening the motivation of high school students in REVS-UP. Because "The spectator sees more of the game", survey responses have been gathered from 19 participating teachers who witnessed the team member interactions. When CSUB student assistants were present as role models, 92% of the K-12 teachers observed positive motivation among high school students in REVS-UP. A Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square test was conducted to reconfirm the significant association in Figure 12 [$\chi^2(1)$ =7.00, p=0.0083].



Figure 12: Association Between Motivation and Role Modeling

During an interview, one teacher reported, "I think the most rewarding experience was working with motivated and inquisitive young men and women and seeing the level of high

^[12] http://www.compact.org/resources/mentoring/3697/#why-campus-based

quality work they were able to produce." A contingency table analysis shows a substantial association between the performance of CSUB students and the knowledge gained by K-12 teachers (C=.62), and the linkage is statistically significant at α =.005 [$\chi^2(2)$ =11.25, p=.0036]. During an interview session, teachers acknowledged the contribution of CSUB student assistants to the learning process. One teacher indicated that "Working with the professors and student assistants is my favorite part of the program. I learn a lot of new material." Another teacher recollected that "I enjoyed interacting with the high school and CSUB students the most. I'm glad this program exists!"

Besides their positive impact on high school students and K-12 teachers, CSUB students also assisted in leading STEM investigations. In a survey questionnaire, teachers were asked to rate the performance of both CSUB professors and student assistants, and a significant association was found between those two variables [$\chi^2(4)$ =18.24, p=.0011]. The effect of this teamwork has led to rejuvenation of teacher interest. One teacher attested that "I also was able to work with a professor and student teaching assistants. It was interesting." Except for one missing response, all K-12 teachers rated CSUB students as *good* or *very good* partners (Figure 13).



Figure 13: Partnership Rating of CSUB Student Assistants

According to the Community Service Act of 1990, *service learning* is defined as a framework "under which students or participants learn and develop through active participation in thoughtfully organized service" ^[13]. CSUB student assistants filled this pivotal role in REVS-

UP to support team-based, collaborative inquiries in the STEM fields. As Andreas Gebauer, the REVS-UP Director, pointed out, "This is a one-of-kind program that offers our community the opportunity to participate in research projects that happen every day at CSUB"^[14]. Because of their familiarity with the CSUB learning environment, student assistants are able to effectively engage and strengthen teamwork through their *service-learning* support for high school students, K-12 teachers, and CSUB professors.

Q6. How well did professors demonstrate academic leadership in STEM research?

In general, the performance of CSUB professors has been evaluated regularly in three areas, *teaching, scholarly activity*, and *service*, according to the university faculty handbook^[15]. REVS-UP provides a professional opportunity for faculty members to illustrate their balanced performance in all three areas. As one teacher noted,

The faculty enjoy what they are doing; The faculty are invested in their students' learning [area of teaching]; The faculty continues to learn new information & utilize in the teaching and research [area of scholarly activity]; the faculty's research is relevant and important to what is going on in the world [area of service].

The STEM expertise of the professors has won them the respect of REVS-UP participants. One teacher reported that "They are very knowledgeable and extremely professional! The CSUB science professors I've worked with are great educators <u>and scientists</u>." As shown in the vitae files, all participating professors earned a doctoral degree in one of the STEM fields. Nearly all research REVS-UP projects were developed in the original field of their Ph.D. training. One exception was related to a physics professor, Dr. T., who switched his research interest to satellite image tracking of environmental changes. The environmental research had made him a leading scholar in valley

^[13] http://codes.lp.findlaw.com/uscode/42/129/I/A/12511

^[14] http://people.bakersfield.com/home/ViewPost/121278

^[15] http://www.csub.edu/facultyAffairs/files/handbook/UniversityHandbook.pdf

fever studies, and by the REVS-UP inception, he already had three articles published in nationally refereed journals of this field^[16]. Furthermore, the self-learning experience has enhanced his teaching style. One REVS-UP participant recalled,

The CSUB professor that I worked with was Dr. T. and he's a good teacher. He explains things briefly and clearly without droning on at length. He is also enthusiastic about his work which creates enthusiasm in his students. He is patient and happy to repeat things as needed without getting upset. I found his style to be effective with the high school and grad students as well as the teachers in his classroom! He was punctual and prompt. Dr. T... is a great role model.

The commitment to STEM education is demonstrated among other professors as well. One teacher concluded, "The project mentors were very supportive of the teachers and students. They did an awesome job! I really enjoyed working with them." Another teacher concurred that "The project mentor worked very closely with us and was great." Across all the teacher respondents, the survey findings showed a significant association between professor rating and K-12 teacher learning [$\chi^2(2)=8.51$, p=.0142]. The professors' mentorship also benefited high school students, which led one teacher to write "I wish I had REVS-UP opportunity when I was in high school." The quality of the STEM projects is guaranteed by the academic leadership of the CSUB professors. One teacher reflected, "Being in the research itself is a professionally benefit because you're working with experts in the field." Over the past six years, a total of 26 professors took part in REVS-UP, and 113 research presentations have been made and disseminated online^[17]. Due credit has been given to the team leaders, and a participant reported, "Project mentor was very helpful; he was serious about the research but readily joked around w/ the participants; gave clear good or very good partners and concise directions." Figure 14 shows that nearly all teacher respondents have rated professors as *good* or *very good* partners.

^[16] http://www.csub.edu/~jtalamantes/vitae.pdf

^[17] http://www.csub.edu/stem/



Figure 14: Results of Professor Performance Rating

Reciprocally, more than 84% of the teacher respondents believed that STEM projects have helped professors advance their research agenda. This outcome addresses one of the original purposes of the CSUB-Chevron partnership, i.e., to "help faculty with their research"^[18]. As a result, REVS-UP has increased its attractiveness to CSUB professors, and the trend of participation shows the highest number of professor participants in 2012 (Figure 15).





Apparently, the 2009 result is an outlier in Figure 15. This was because REVS-UP was anticipated to end in 2009 according to the initial agreement between Chevron and CSUB^[19]. Sustaining REVS-UP was grounded on a mutual vision of the private-public partnership to support STEM education. As Horace Mitchell, CSUB President, pointed out, "We

 $^{[18] \} http://www.bakersfieldcalifornian.com/local/x504580726/Chevron-donates-500-000-for-summer-research-program$

^[19] http://www.calstate.edu/newsline/2007/n20070223bak1.shtml

want to get students in the middle schools, junior highs and high schools excited about studying science and math. We also want to work with teachers to better prepare them to teach these subjects and enhance their knowledge." In this broad context, CSUB professors have designed STEM projects to address these needs, and thus, become a core asset of the partnership building.

Since REVS-UP involves high school students, K-12 teachers, CSUB student assistants, and university faculty, evaluation findings have been analyzed in this report to highlight both the *teaching* and *learning* aspects of STEM experiences. The *teaching* part primarily worked for professors and their assistants, but the *learning* part was not solely confined within high school students and/or K-12 teachers. Professors received support from REVS-UP participants to help complete their STEM research projects, and CSUB student assistants gained service-learning experiences. The joint effort has established a community of learners for all stakeholders at CSUB. As one K-12 teacher characterized it, "REVS-UP has made the CSUB research environment more professional and yet creative for all of us at the same time."

Q7. In what areas did REVS-UP show continuous improvement over past six years?

In this report, a question-oriented approach has been taken to streamline multilateral evaluation findings. While the accountability of REVS-UP has been addressed in Questions 1-6 for its target populations of *high school students* (Q1-Q3), *K-12 teachers* (Q4), *CSUB student assistants* (Q5), and *STEM professors* (Q6), both formative and summative evaluations are needed to assess the impact of continuous improvement (Stufflebeam & Shinkfield, 2007). As Sloane (2008) suggested, "We change the basic research question from what works to what works for whom and in what contexts" (p. 43). Guided by the *Context, Input, Process*, and

Product (CIPP) paradigm (see Stufflebeam, Madaus, & Kellaghn, 2000), this section is devoted to the examination of ongoing progresses on three benchmarks:

- Addressing "what works" through evaluation of quality improvement in the STEM project development;
- (2) Investigating the "for whom" component to delineate service coverage for diversified high school student populations;
- (3) Describing contextual changes to reflect ongoing enhancement of program management.

The *product* phase of the CIPP model is examined in the next section to assess REVS-UP impact in K-12 settings.

Improvement on STEM Project Development

STEM projects from the past have been posted online at http://www.csub.edu/STEM. Built on the annual outcomes, this report places more emphasis on the trend of continuous improvement over past six years. In comparison to other years, 2009 was the final year of the first funding cycle (2007-2009). Due to the resources dwindling down, REVS-UP managed to leverage additional support from other sources, including an NSF-sponsored project entitled "Vascular Transport of California Native Shrubs".

Figure 15 shows the total number of presentations over past six years. Except for 2009, REVS-UP has demonstrated a trend of expanding STEM project developments (Figure 15). The project count was based on the number of annual research presentations at the end of each summer. As a comparison, the number of STEM projects increased from 18 in 2007 to 23 in 2012, a 28% increase during the six-year period. This program growth was in response to local

needs – when K-12 teachers were asked about the changes they would like to see in this program, several of them indicated the need for expansion.



Figure 15: Number of STEM Presentations Developed from REVS-UP Each Year

Besides adding projects to increase STEM learning opportunities, more progress has been made to broaden the subject domains of REVS-UP inquiries. For instance, the orange line in Figure 16 shows no *computer science* projects in 2007. To compensate, four new projects were added to the subject in 2008. The increase of project variety has made REVS-UP more attractive to local stakeholders. As one K-12 teacher suggested, "More variety in projects would be beneficial. Many students enjoy Robotics, as my son did last summer. How about Robotics I and II where students could continue more advanced projects in the second level?"

Figure 16: Trend on Project Numbers Across Subject Domains



While the trend of research developments has been monitored at both *program* and *subject* levels (Figures 15 & 16), indicators of the project quality are tracked by the ratings of STEM presentations each year. Hence, continuous improvement can be illustrated by a longitudinal data comparison across time. For example, a project entitled "From the Periodic Table to the Kitchen" won the 3rd place award in 2008. In 2012, the same project was rated at the 11th place. The comparative result shows that nearly half of the 2012 projects could have been rated within the top 3 ranks in 2008.

Figure 17 shows names of the professors who led the development of STEM projects for the top-3 awards during 2007-2012^[20]. The continuous improvement has been further illustrated by consistency of the project evaluations in honoring outstanding STEM professors for multiple years (see Professors Baron, Horton and LaFever in the blue-colored and orange-colored frames in Figure 17).

Winning the REVS-UP award was no easy task. According to the trend results, Professor Kemnitz's project was rated at the first place in 2007. In 2008, two other projects surpassed his new project during the process of continuous improvement, and moved his project rank to the third place (see the yellow-colored frame in Figure 17). The stiff project competition in 2008 also led to a decision of sharing the third place award by two projects in chemistry.

In summary, the longitudinal data have provided significant insights into the continuous improvements of the STEM projects over the past six years. Ongoing progresses have been made to increase the project numbers, broaden the subject coverage, and enhance the quality of STEM discoveries through peer-reviewed research competitions.

^[20] The award competition is based on *Research Project Competition* since 2007. Results from *Exploring Science and Mathematics Competition* will be addressed in the next section. Because of dwindling of the funding, no awards were given in 2009 in either competition.



Figure 17: Network of Awardees and Award Ranks

Services for Diversified Student Populations

A core feature of REVS-UP is the concurrent enrollment of high school students in a

college setting. As Ulate (2011) recapped,

Concurrent enrollment (CE), defined as a high school student enrolling in college coursework, provides high school students with a unique opportunity to enhance their academic experiences. Originally, CE was solely intended to provide high-achieving high school students with opportunities to enrich their education by enrolling in college courses. Recently, CE also has been seen as a promising strategy to improve the college readiness levels of underrepresented students, and to reduce disparities in college access. (p. 1)

Through sponsoring STEM investigations, REVS-UP has broadened its impact on both

the quality and the equity of college preparation for diversified student populations. Past REVS-

UP participants came from 49 different high schools with an average school rating at the 56.2th

percentile^[21]. Since two of the high schools (Centennial and Stockdale) received 9 and 8 ratings,

most other schools were ranked below the median level.

^[21] The scale was developed by greatschools.com according to student performance on staterequired tests.

REVS-UP kept records of the minimum high school GPA for its participants during 2007-2012 (Figure 18). The results confirmed that REVS-UP did not exclude high school students with weak academic background. When REVS-UP started in 2007, George W. Bush's administration pushed for "No Child Left Behind" (NCLB), and thus, the trend in Figure 18 shows the program alignment with the original intention of the NCLB initiative to close equity gaps in college preparation.



Figure 18: Trend of Minimum High School GPA for REVS-UP Participants

According to California Postsecondary Education Commission (2007), "California has serious inequities in access to higher education. College-going rates vary greatly depending on students' ethnicity, gender, and the type of neighborhood where the student's high school is located" (p. 1). Kern County has been ranked as one of the lowest regions in adult education across the United States (Brookings Institution, 2010). Zumbrun (2008) concurred that Bakersfield, the county seat, was ranked as one of the least educated metropolitan areas across the nation. The 2010 Census data indicated 49.2% of Kern County residents come from a Hispanic background^[22]. The state index suggested a college-going rate of 38.7% in the Hispanic population^[23]. In combining both indicators, it is projected that students of Hispanic background only makes up about 19.0% of the college-bound population. Meanwhile, the efforts of data tracking have revealed college enrollments of 179 REVS-UP participants, and the proportion of Hispanic students has reached to 29.4%, much higher than the projected 19.0% for Kern County (Figure 19).





On the gender dimension, College Board further indicated that "Male students are about twice as likely as female students to enter STEM fields"^[24]. Except for 2009, the trend data in Figure 20 showed an increase of female student participation in REVS- UP, reversing the general pattern of gender inequality that persists across the nation.





^{[22] &}quot;2010 Census P.L. 94-171 Summary File Data" (www.census.gov/2010census/data).

[23] http://www.cpec.ca.gov/StudentData/CACGREthnicity.asp

 $\cite{24] http://trends.collegeboard.org/education-pays/figures-tables/students-stem-fields-gender-and-race-ethnicity$

Broadening the population coverage directly supports the dual role of STEM education to prepare *STEM majors* and *general citizens* concurrently. REVS-UP has displayed its services to the diversified populations of high school students across dimensions of race, gender, and academic preparations.

Enhancement of REVS-UP Management

Contextual changes occurred in past six years to enhance management of REVS-UP on both aspects of *capacity building* and *project support*. As the program embarked on a new expansion in 2010, a program coordinator was hired to address the service needs of REVS-UP participants based on feedback from the first funding cycle during 2007-2009. In particular, one student reported in 2008 that "Some areas of improvement needed but good organization overall; some students didn't receive the letter of information detailing the time, date & place of the first meeting; info on free parking should be sent out before the program begins."^[25] The organizational refinement has been maintained since hiring the coordinator, and no further complaints have been reported against the administrative support.

While capacity building has solved issues from the past, continuous improvement becomes an ongoing process to support project enhancement during the REVS-UP operation. In 2008, REVS-UP made an important improvement over 2007 by splitting STEM projects into two tracks: (1) Direct Research Participation (DRP), and (2) Designed-to-Learn Development Research Participation (DLDRP). DRP projects included cutting-edge research in STEM fields, while DLDRP projects placed more emphasis on the development of experiments and lesson plans for STEM education. Parallel to the original *Research Project Competition*, REVS-UP added an *Exploring Science and Mathematics Competition* since 2010 to recognize exceptional

^[25] The 2008 annual report of REVS-UP

projects in the DLDRP group^[26]. As of 2012^[27], DRP projects have resulted in three publications in scientific journals and five poster presentations at regional and national conferences. Furthermore, the DLDRP project in chemistry produced manuals with experiments suitable for K-12 schools. Thus, the inclusion of DRP and DLDRP tracks has strengthened REVS-UP alignment with the dual emphases on STEM education, and improved STEM learning opportunities for both prospective STEM majors and in-service K-12 educators.

Continuous improvement also occurred with assessment tools used to support project evaluation. Initially, REVS-UP adapted an existing rubric from a Student Research Scholarship (SRS) program at CSUB. Similar to REVS-UP, SRS supported development of poster presentations. However, the SRS funding from the *President's Associates* was not exclusively designed for STEM education, nor did it capture the multilateral collaboration among high school students, K-12 teachers, CSUB student assistants, and STEM professors. In 2010, the REVS-UP director re-examined the program needs, and developed a new rubric after an extensive review of the current literature, including a widely-used rubric entitled "Valid Assessment of Learning in Undergraduate Education" (VALUE). As a result, the new rubric includes six dimensions, Context of and Purpose for the Poster, Content Development, References and Evidence, Composition and Format, Conclusions and Related Outcomes, and On-site Explanation. On each dimension, a project is rated at four levels, *Emerging, Basic*, Proficient, and Exemplary. Indicators of project attainment are clearly defined in a 6x4 matrix to enhance feasibility of the rubric application. The new rubric has since been adopted for the 2011 and 2012 STEM project assessments. Meanwhile, it also provides a model to lead other

^[26] The 2010 annual report of REVS-UP

^[27] The 2012 annual report of REVS-UP

assessment tasks, including the SRS competition.

In conclusion, REVS-UP has considered program needs and service feedback to make continuous improvements on several fronts, such as accommodating more STEM projects and supporting new explorations in computer science. The hiring of a REVS-UP coordinator has also strengthened the office capacity to serve diversified populations of high school student, K-12 teachers, CSUB student assistants, and STEM professors with various responsibilities and expectations. As the program incorporates more STEM projects to enrich the learning experiences, a new rubric has been developed to assess quality of research outcomes of both DRP and DLDRP projects on six dimensions. Meanwhile, REVS-UP director has established professional leadership via ongoing improvements of the project tracking and poster evaluation.

Q8. What progress has been made to sustain REVS-UP impact in K-12 settings?

Through STEM project developments, collaborative partnerships have been established among REVS-UP participants to sustain the impact in K-12 school settings. Based on the involvement of high school students and K-12 teachers, *student learning, teacher preparation*, and *network building* are examined in this section to assess the long-lasting outcomes resulting from REVS-UP.

Inquiry-based Learning

REVS-UP has adopted effective strategies to enhance STEM education. While supporting inquiry-based learning, REVS-UP incorporates STEM explorations beyond the level of secondary education. As one teacher reported, "I had the chance to work not only on my own but with chemicals and machines that were never available in my high school classes." Another teacher concurred, "I was able to work in an actual lab, unlike the ones at school. I learned much more than I thought I would." This effective approach is aligned with the professional practice of program development. According to Lev Vygotsky (1978), a seminal educator, learning activities should be designed within "the zone of proximal development" (ZPD), and thus, the content knowledge needs to be positioned slightly above the level of student development. The concurrent enrollment of high school students in the program thus fits the goal of a collaborative exploration beyond secondary education.

Based on Vygotsky's (1978) original definition, ZPD represents the distance between the actual development level of the learners and the level of potential development in collaboration with more capable peers and experts. Therefore, teamwork is an essential component to support the learning process. REVS-UP provided opportunities for collaboration among professors, CSUB student assistants, K-12 teachers, and high school students. This teamwork was evident in the program, with one participant noting that "Our group of high school students performed various experiments and techniques to get our results. The professor and CSUB student were very knowledgeable. We all learned to work together in our project."

"As a learner gains new skills and abilities, this [ZPD] zone moves progressively forward" (Cherry, 2013, ¶. 3). To continue the ongoing progress, it is important to recognize ZPD as a moving target. Thus, STEM explorations in REVS-UP facilitate the advancement of student knowledge base. As one student acknowledged, "I was perplexed by several advanced STEM topics during my school years. I signed up for a project in quantum chemistry, and learned a lot when I was in the REVS-UP program." Meanwhile, flexibility has been included to foster creativity in the collaborative inquiries. Another student welcomed the flexibility aspect – "REVS-UP is very well organized and productive. I appreciate the fact that there is a lot of freedom to work at different paces." In summary, REVS-UP has incorporated new inquiries beyond secondary education to enrich the learning process for high school students and K-12 teachers. The inquiry-centered pedagogy, ZPD-based curriculum setting, and creativity-oriented flexibility have supported a proper alignment between the REVS-UP approach and the professional practice advocated by Lev Vygotsky, a leading educator of the 20th century.

STEM Teaching

In addition to enhancing subject competency through STEM research inquiries, REVS-UP supports the development of instructional tools and lab techniques to assist K-12 teachers in classroom settings. Although the state government has placed more emphasis on paper-andpencil tests, "It remains true that students are attracted more to the STEM fields when applications and hand-on experiences are an integral part of the curriculum" (Meyer & Gasparayan, 2010, p. 77).

With the support of local teachers, instructional tools developed from REVS-UP have impacted STEM learning across multiple disciplines. For instance, lab manuals have been developed from a project entitled "Fun with Science: Bringing Hands-On Experiments to California Classrooms." This tool was adopted by STEM teachers to enhance the learning experience of about 1,000 students at local schools. Another project, *From the Periodic Table to the Kitchen: Cooking with Circuits*, has produced five sets of lab instructions that have impacted STEM education for at least 5,000 students in Kern County. These tools are user-friendly, and provide practical templates for electrochemical experiments. Furthermore, the effectiveness of the instruction tools has been disseminated at regional and national conferences of the American Chemical Society^[28]. Similar projects have also been developed in physics labs during the 2010 REVS-UP sessions (Meyer & Gasparayan, 2010).

The impact of REVS-UP was sustained when STEM teachers implemented a plan to

incorporate the inquiry-based learning into local schools' curricula. One of them recollected,

REVS-UP gave me insights into inquiry-based learning. I modified my teaching style to give students more opportunities to discover the answers to questions through their own investigations. I also created more labs that allowed students to define and record their own procedures for collecting data to answer a scientific question. This was difficult in some instances because the students are accustomed to just being told what to do rather than guided to the correct procedure or answer. However, I think it ultimately made my classes more engaging to students in the same way as REVS-UP, but on a smaller scale.

As a result of these efforts, survey responses from 19 teachers revealed different levels of

teaching improvement. As shown in Figure 21, the majority of teachers indicated a great deal of

teaching improvements.



Figure 21: Proportion of Teachers with Improvement of Teaching Performance

Meanwhile, the impact of REVS-UP was not solely confined to the K-12 teacher participants. Based on responses from a survey questionnaire, around half of the teachers gained more respect from their peers who did take part in REVS-UP. The increase of peer respect was significantly associated with the extent of teaching improvement [$\chi^2(2) = 8.97$, p = .0113]. As shown in Figure 22, all teacher participants gained peer respect when *a great deal of* teaching improvement occurred in local schools (the top red circle).



Figure 22: Association Between Peer Respect and Teaching Improvement

The enhancement of STEM teaching is much needed in California. As CSUB President Mitchell (2007) pointed out, "While the state has adopted a set of ambitious academic standards, and worked to expand and improve teacher production, the shortage of qualified teachers has actually risen in science and math"^[29]. REVS-UP has addressed this challenge by facilitating teacher growth. A new teacher indicated, "As I will be teaching high school chemistry for the first time in my career, my REVS-UP participation helps me tremendously in preparing new lessons at my school."

Over the past six years, REVS-UP has sustained its impact on STEM teaching through the development of instruction tools and lab techniques. As teaching performance has improved in local schools, the useful lab manuals created from REVS-UP are disseminated in national and regional conferences of professional organizations, and peer respect has been established for K-12 teachers who demonstrated a great deal of teaching improvement.

Network Building

The impact on K-12 schools is not confined to improved teaching methods. REVS-UP also provides an important opportunity to facilitate network building among educators and students in the community. One teacher noted, "I enjoyed REVS-UP because it offered a chance to work with others. Teaching high school physics can be awfully lonely sometimes." To

^[29]http://www.calstate.edu/newsline/2007/n20070223bak1.shtml

analyze the progress on network building, survey questionnaires have been distributed to teacher participants to identify beneficial partners during the STEM project exploration. Based on the responses from 20 teacher participants, the social network analysis revealed an average of three beneficial partners per teacher (Figure 23). In particular, 28% of the networks are connected to teacher partners, and 27% of the linkages are tied to professors. The networks with high school students and CSUB student assistants account for 24% and 21% of the partner relations, respectively. Therefore, no particular group of partners has predominantly controlled the process of partnership building.



Figure 23: Beneficial Partnerships Perceived by K-12 Teacher Participants

Although the network pattern seemed to suggest more partnership building among teachers (28%) and between teachers and professors (27%), the importance of student partnerships has also been recognized in interview findings. For instance, one teacher indicated that "Student input in labs is helpful and necessary. I value student opinions and have a greater

understanding of what type of curriculum benefits them." Another teacher reported, "I was able to work with a Cal State student who was very knowledgeable about organic chemistry and taught me a lot."

Since the whole can be larger than the sum of its parts, REVS-UP not only attached great importance to the quality of STEM learning at the individual level, but also improved network building as a group outcome. Through partnership collaborations, new STEM inquiries have been completed that enrich teaching to align with "the zone of proximal development" (ZPD), and instructional tools have been created to expand the inquiry-based learning in local schools. Thus, the impact on K-12 education is reflected by both the *teaching* and *learning* aspects of REVS-UP support.

Q9. What recommendations can be made to extend success of **REVS-UP** beyond this summative evaluation?

Since 2007, Chevron has funded REVS-UP at CSUB in order to offer hands-on research experiences for high school students and K-12 teachers. Over the past six years, a total of 26 STEM professors led the development of 113 research projects with the support of 90 CSUB student assistants, 384 high school students, and 84 teachers from K-12 schools. In this report, qualitative and quantitative data have been analyzed to examine the impact of REVS-UP on high school students (Questions 1-3), K-12 teachers (Question 4), CSUB student assistants (Question 5), and STEM professors (Question 6). In addition, sustainable trends have been analyzed to summarize program improvement (Question 7) and capacity building (Question 8) under the *Context, Input, Process*, and *Product* (CIPP) paradigm.

On the basis of the extensive examination of REVS-UP outcomes in Questions 1-8, this section is devoted to summarizing five recommendations to expand the impact of REVS-UP

beyond this longitudinal investigation:

1. Continue the support for inquiry-based learning

All STEM projects sponsored by REVS-UP have incorporated knowledge content beyond the level of secondary education. This setting is pertinent to the concurrent enrollment of high school students at the college level, and facilitates STEM learning within "the zone of proximal development" (ZPD). While consideration of ZPD is needed to optimize learning outcomes from a specific project, a systematic approach can be taken to extend the inquiry-based learning across multiple projects. For instance, one teacher suggested having Robotics I and II to match ZPD advancement of high school students at different levels.

(2) Use the REVS-UP platform to leverage additional support

REVS-UP is an example of a sustainable program model that improves the quality of STEM education in a traditionally underserved region of the United States. The private and public partnership between Chevron and CSUB has not only increased student interest in STEM explorations, but also has enhanced subject competency of K-12 teachers. Meanwhile, the U.S. government has developed several programs to revitalize STEM education for the next generation (President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, 2012). In 2009, the REVS-UP platform was extended to sponsor additional STEM research funded by the NSF CAREER program. In 2012, another NSF grant award was recruited to add more research opportunities through *information assurance education* (IAE)^[30]. While the existing REVS-UP program already covered the major STEM subjects, the IAE award addressed a specialty field of *national security*, and thus, created a new dimension for future REVS-UP expansion.

(3) Strengthen the program alignment with dual emphases on STEM education

^[30] http://www.nsf.gov/awardsearch/showAward?AWD_ID=1241636

Two separate emphases have been introduced in REVS-UP to classify STEM

investigations on dual tracks: (1) Direct Research Participation (DRP) in cutting-edge research,

and (2) Designed-to-Learn Development Research Participation (DLDRP) to develop

experiments and lesson plans in the STEM fields. Although the DRP projects are less relevant in the K-12 school context, experiments and lesson plans from DLDRP could depend on the characteristics of the student populations in the local setting. For instance, lesson plans that worked for an Advanced Placement class may not be equally effective for a remedial class. The student engagement issue was observed by REVS-UP participants, with one teacher noting:

I think students should be interviewed or checked more carefully. One of the student participants was difficult to work with and did not really meet the prerequisite skills required to participate. She was also not self-motivated, so it made it difficult to work with her in a group setting. The other students in the group were fantastic.

Thus, DLDRP projects are now expected to indicate *what works, for whom*, and *in which contexts*. This additional tracking effort will enhance the alignment of REVS-UP with the dual emphases of STEM education for the general public and professional experts.

(4) Facilitate strategic collaboration with other STEM programs

CSUB has offered multiple programs in STEM education. In 2013, NSF alone has

funded three projects totaling over \$2 million (award # 0934944, 1136342, and 1241636).

During the past six years, Chevron has also contributed money to support the following

programs:

- 1. Chevron High School Academy
- 2. Chevron-sponsored STEM Student Center
- 3. Chevron-sponsored dual credit geology program
- 4. Chevron-sponsored development of a bachelor's degree in engineering sciences

5. The Chevron Math Initiative

6. Chevron CSUB-NASA/JPL 2008 Summer Institute

REVS-UP participants from local schools are allowed to concurrently participate in multiple programs. Thus, strategic collaborations with other STEM programs are recommended to help sharpen the unique role of REVS-UP under this broad context.

(5) Strengthen program coordination to address participants' needs

In preparing this report, all of the past participants had a chance to provide their feedback. Therefore, some of the concerns from the early years could have already been resolved by REVS-UP. For that reason, four specific aspects are combined below with supporting evidence for the reference of REVS-UP staff:

- Schedule Coordination One participant suggested, "Bring back lunch. Even if it's just sandwiches, it saves a lot of time and money for the students." Another student reported, "I loved our schedule. We had morning lecture on advanced topics and background. The afternoons were left for experimentation";
- (ii) Program Application One teacher noted, "The only change, perhaps, is the digital application process. Having to hand-write so many recommendation letters for students (over 20 this year [2013]) gets quite time consuming";
- (iii) Project Assignment One past student urged the program to have, "the right numbers of students and teachers assigned to each project so that no team members are overwhelmed while others have nothing to do";
- (iv) Time Commitment Since college credits have been designated for REVS-UP, the time commitment of STEM professors should be aligned with similar course

offerings at the state side. Interview respondents have indicated the need to standardize the time commitment.

References

- Angelo, T. (1999, May). Doing assessment as if learning matters most. *American Association for Higher Education Bulletin*, pp. 1-2.
- Berliner, D. C. (2013). *Three decades of lies*. Retrieved from http://dianeravitch.net/2013/04/24/david-berliner-on-a-nation-at-risk-three-decades-of-lies/
- Berliner, D. C, & Biddle, B. J. (1995). *The manufactured crisis: Myths, fraud, and the attack on America's public schools*. Redding, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Borgatti, S.P. (2002). *NetDraw: Software for network visualization*. Lexington, KY: Analytic Technologies.
- Brookings Institution (2010). *The state of metropolitan America: Educational attainment*. Retrieved from http://www.brookings.edu/metro/MetroAmericaChapters/education.aspx.
- California Postsecondary Education Commission (2007). College going rates: A performance measure in California's higher education accountability framework. Commission Report 07-04. Retrieved from http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICWebPortal/contentdelivery/servlet/ERICServlet?accno=ED

499250

- Cherry, K. (2013). *What is the zone of proximal development?* Retrieved from http://psychology.about.com/od/zindex/g/zone-proximal.htm
- Campus Compact (2013). *Best practices in campus-based mentoring*. Retrieved from http://www.compact.org/resources/mentoring/3697/#why-campus-based
- French, M., Homer, J., & Robins, P. (2010). What you do in high school matters: The effects of high school GPA on educational attainment and labor market earnings in adulthood. Retrieved from http://www.bus.miami.edu/_assets/files/faculty-and-research/academicdepartments/eco/eco-working-papers/2010/wp-2010-26-what-do-you-do-in-high-schoolmatters.pdf
- Gates, S. & Mirkin, C. (2012). *Encouraging STEM students is in the national interest*. Retrieved from http://chronicle.com/article/Encouraging-STEM-Students-Is/132425/
- Harter, S. (1981). A new self-report scale of intrinsic versus extrinsic orientation in the classroom: Motivational and informational components. *Developmental Psychology*, *17*, 300-312.
- Madaus, G. & Kellaghan, T. (2000). Models, metaphors, and definitions in evaluation. In D. Stufflebeam, G. Madaus, & T. Kellaghn, T. (Eds.), *Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation* (2nd ed.). Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- Maduas, G., Stufflebeam, D., & Scriven, M. (2000). Program evaluation: A historical overview.
 In D. Stufflebeam, G. Madaus, & T. Kellaghn, T. (Eds.), *Evaluation models: Viewpoints* on educational and human services evaluation (2nd ed.). Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- Marsh, H., Hau, K., & Kong, C. (2002). Multilevel causal ordering of academic self-concept and achievement: Influence of language of instruction (English compared with Chinese) for Hong Kong students. *American Educational Research Journal*, 39, 727-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/00028312039003727
- Martin, M.O., Mullis, I.V.S., Foy, P., & Stanco, G.M. (2012). *TIMSS 2011 international results in science*. Chestnut Hill, MA: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center, Boston College.

- McDevitt, T., Heikkinen, H., Alcorn, J., Ambrosio, A., & Gardner, A. (1993). Evalution of the preparation of teachers in science and mathematics: Assessment of preservice teachers' attitudes and beliefs. *Science Education*, 77 (6), 593-610.
- Meyer, T., & Gasparayan, V. (2010). Summer REVS-UP: Project in physics. In A. Gebauer (Ed.), 2010 Chevron report. Bakersfield, CA: CSUB.
- Mullis, I.V.S., Martin, M.O., Foy, P., & Arora, A. (2012). TIMSS 2011 international results in mathematics. Chestnut Hill, MA: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center, Boston College.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author
- National Research Council (2011a). A Framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2011b). Successful K-12 STEM education: Identifying effective approaches in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. Committee on Highly Successful Science Programs for K-12 Science Education. Board on Science Education and Board on Testing and Assessment, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (2012). Engage to excel: Producing one million additional college graduates with degrees in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. Retrieved from http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/pcast-engage-to-excelfinal_feb.pdf
- Ravitch, D. (2013). *The mess that is Obama's education policy*. Retrieved from http://dianeravitch.net/2013/05/02/the-mess-that-is-obamas-education-policy/
- Rotberg, I. C. (1991). How did all those dumb kids make all those smart bomb? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 72, 788-781.
- Sadler, P., Sonnert, G., Hazari, Z. & Tai, R. (2012). Stability and volatility of STEM career interest in high school: A gender study. *Educational Science*, *96*(3), 411-427.
- Sawyer, R. (2013). Beyond correlations: Usefulness of high school GPA and test scores in making college admissions decisions. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 26 (2), 89-112.
- Sloane, F. (2008). Through the looking glass: Experiments, quasi-experiments, and the medical model. *Educational Researcher*, *37* (1), 41-46.
- Smith, C. (2004). *Extrinsic motivation*. Retrieved from http://www.education.com/reference/article/extrinsic-motivation-children-education/
- Stufflebeam, D. (2000). Foundational models for 21st century program evaluation. In D. Stufflebeam, G. Madaus, & T. Kellaghn, T. (Eds.), *Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation* (2nd ed.). Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- Stufflebeam, D., Madaus, G., & Kellaghn, T. (2000). *Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation* (2nd ed.). Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- Stufflebeam, D. & Shinkfield, A. (2007). Evaluation theory, models, and applications. San Franscisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Stufflebeam, D., & Webster, W. (1984). An analysis of alternative approaches to evaluation. In G. Madaus, M. Scriven, & D. Stufflebeam (Eds.), *Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation* (1st ed.). Boston: Kluwer Academic.

- Stumpf, H. & Stanley, J. (2002). Group data on high school grade point averages and scores on academic aptitude tests as predictors of institutional graduation rates. *Educational & Psychological Measurement*, 62, 1042-1052.
- Su, Z., Su, J., & Goldstein, S. (1994). Teaching and learning science in American and Chinese high schools: A comparative study. *Comparative Education*, *30*, 255–270.
- Sweeting, K. (2011). *Early years teachers' attitudes towards mathematics*. Retrieved from http://eprints.qut.edu.au/46123/1/Kylie_Sweeting_Thesis.pdf
- Ulate, D. (2011). To a higher degree: Addressing disparities in college access with concurrent enrollment. Davis, CA: University of California, Davis (UMI Dissertations Publishing, 3474488).
- Vygotsky, S. L. (1978.) *Mind in society. The development of higher psychological processes.* Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Wang, J. (2013). An assessment of education quality beyond dinner table discussions. *International Education Studies*, 6 (1), 111-116.
- Wang, J., Oliver, S., & Staver, J. (2008). Self-concept and science achievement: Investigating a reciprocal relation model across the gender classification in a cross-cultural context. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45, 711-25.
- Yarbrough, D. B., Shulha, L. M., Hopson, R. K., & Caruthers, F. A. (2010). *The program evaluation standards* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage & the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation.
- Zumbrun, J. (2008, November 28). *America's best- and worst-educated cities*. Retrieved from http://www.forbes.com.