Name of Institution: CSU Bakersfield	1						
Link to Submission: https://www.csub.edu/educaccred/ctc/2024literacycertification.shtml Types of Programs Represented: MS/SS; MMSN; ESN (All Programs: Traditional Student	-						
Teaching, Traditional Residency, and Intern)				Institution Response-	Any comments on the additional information	2nd Review - Complete or Not	If Not Complete, staff-provided
CTC Staff Review Elements (Please see PSA 24-01 for the full text of these items.) Section 2.1 Table Listing all pathways is complete and accurate.	Element Complete or Incomplete	If Incomplete, rationale for incomplete	Comment	Provide direct link to response	submitted in column E	Complete (dropdown)	feedback
Check that: A. All pathways listed in Part 1.5 accounted for, were checked against tracking sheet) and B. At least one course is listed in the table for each pathway (or there is a clear							
seters and as we least one course is rised in the came on each painway to there is a clear indication that the courses listed for one anoly to the other nationals. 2.2 Description of process used to review the program to ensure alignment with the new literacy	Complete						
instruction standards and TPEs.	Complete						
2.3. Describe how the program has ensured that faculty teaching the literacy instruction courses understand the requirements in SB 488, the new standards and TPEs, and the evidence base							
supporting them. If this response differs by pathway, please respond for each pathway.							
Check that: Response describes how faculty teaching literacy courses were provided with opportunities to understand or notified about SB 488 requirements, the new literacy standard							
and TPE domain, and evidence base supporting them. 2.4. Describe how the institution/program has provided opportunities for faculty teaching these	Complete						
courses to engage in professional learning to ensure that they are prepared to teach new content as required by SB 488, the standards, and the performance expectations. If none has							
been provided to date, provide information about the implementation plan for specific professional development and learning that will take place. If these responses differ by							
pathway, please respond for each pathway.							
Check that response indicates how professional learning has or will be provided for faculty teaching literacy courses. Do not check for dates for specific activities.	Complete						
2.5. What steps has the program taken or will take in the coming months to communicate to mentor/cooperating teachers and other PK-12 partners the new requirements of SB 488, the							
new literacy instruction program standards, TPEs, and upcoming performance assessment requirements? (meetings, notifications, handbook updates, etc.)	Complete						
2.6. In what ways did the program's process for reviewing its coursework and clinical practice requirements against the new standards and TPEs include individuals with expertise in literacy							
instruction for multilingual/English learner students? Check that individuals with expertise in multilingual/English learner students was not clearly							
Linear manuscus with expercise in maininguage again learner students was not clearly lockeded	Complete Complete						
2.7 Links to syllabi for ELA and Literacy Standards, ELD Standards, ELA/ELD Framework indicating	indicates the ELA and Literacy Standards, ELD Standards, ELA/ELD Framework are included in						
they are required and central components for candidates in literacy instruction coursework.	Framework are included in coursework. Phase III of the certification process will include a						
Check that ELA/ELD is a required text/resource and referenced clearly in literacy coursework.	review for alignment with the requirements of SB 488.						
3.3b. Candidate Information – Direct link(s) to location(s) in candidate handbooks or materials							
explaining the new standards, TPEs, and performance assessment requirements demonstrating that candidates have been provided accurate and timely information about what is required					MULTIPLE SUBJECT: Handbook added		
during clinical practice related to foundational skills. Check that candidate materials clearly describe the new literary standards. TPFs and		Candidate materials do not contain or do not clearly describe the new literacy standards TPEs and	Handbooks include TPE domains but are not explicit about what is required during clinical practice related to		links to detailed explanation about the TPE 7 in addition to materials discussing		
Check that candidate materials clearly describe the new literacy standards, TPEs, and performance assessment requirements/clinical practice around foundational skills.	Not Complete	performance assessment requirements/clinical practice around foundational skills.	foundational skills. Additionally, information about the literacy performance assessment is not provided.	Multiple Subject Handbook	the literacy performance assessment. Please see pages: 6 and 31.	Complete	
3.3b. Candidate Information – Direct link(s) to location(s) in candidate handbooks or materials explaining the new standards, TPEs, and performance assessment requirements demonstrating							
that candidates have been provided accurate and timely information about what is required during clinical practice related to foundational skills.		Candidate materials do not contain or do not clearly	Handbooks include TPE domains but are not explicit		EDUCATION SPECIALIST: Revised Handbook added detailed information about teaching		
Check that candidate materials clearly describe the new literacy standards, TPEs, and		describe the new literacy standards TPEs and performance assessment requirements/clinical practice	about what is required during clinical practice related to foundational skills. Additionally, information about the		foundational skills w/in clinical practice. Please see explanation on page 26 and 28		
performance assessment requirements/clinical practice around foundational skills. 4.2b. Candidate Information – Direct link(s) to specific locations in candidate handbooks or	Not Complete	around foundational skills.	literacy performance assessment is not provided.	Educational Specialist Handbook	with single asterisk.	Complete	
other materials explaining the new standards, TPEs, and performance assessment requirements demonstrating that candidates have been provided accurate and timely information about what							
is required during clinical practice related to the TPEs listed in 4.1.		Candidate materials do not contain or do not clearly describe the new standards, TPEs, and performance	Handbooks include TPE domains but are not explicit about what is required during clinical practice related to TPE 7.6. 7.7. and 7.8. Additionally, information about the		MULTIPLE SUBJECT: Handbook added		
Check that candidate handbooks or other materials clearly describe the new standards, TPEs, and performance assessment requirements demonstrating that candidates have been provided		assessment requirements, particularly related to the TPEs in Table 4.1 (7.6, 7.7, 7.8)	TPE 7.6, 7.7, and 7.8 . Additionally, information about the literacy performance assessment is not provided.		links to detailed explanation about the TPE 7 in addition to materials discussing		
accurate and timely information about what is required during clinical practice related to the TPEs listed in 4.1 (7.6, 7.7. 7.8)	Not Complete			Multiple Subject Handbook	the literacy performance assessment. Please see pages 6 and 31.	Complete	
4.2b. Candidate Information – Direct link(s) to specific locations in candidate handbooks or other materials explaining the new standards, TPEs, and performance assessment requirements							
demonstrating that candidates have been provided accurate and timely information about what is required during clinical practice related to the TPEs listed in 4.1.	:	Candidate materials do not contain or do not clearly describe the new standards, TPEs, and performance	Handbooks include TPE domains but are not explicit about what is required during clinical practice related to		EDUCATION SPECIALIST: Revised table 4.1 to address TPEs 7.6, 7.7, 7.8 Education		
Check that candidate handbooks or other materials clearly describe the new standards, TPEs,		assessment requirements, particularly related to the TPEs in Table 4.1 (7.6, 7.7, 7.8)	TPE 7.6, 7.7, and 7.8. Additionally, information about the literacy performance assessment is not provided.		Specialist: Revised Handbook added information about the literacy performance		
and performance assessment requirements demonstrating that candidates have been provided accurate and timely information about what is required during clinical practice related to the		III 18010 4.2 (3.0, 7.7, 7.0)	mentacy performance assessment is not provided.		assessment and the RICA. Please see explanation in handbook on page 28 with one		
TPEs listed in 4.1 (7.6, 7.7. 7.8) 5.2b. Links to specific location(s) in candidate handbooks or materials that describe for	Not Complete	Candidate materials do not contain information or are		Educational Specialist	asterisk. MULTIPLE SUBJECT and EDUCATIONAL	Complete	
candidates what is expected of them with respect to learning about diagnostic techniques as well as early intervention techniques.		unclear about program expectations related to diagnostic and early intervention techniques or the information is	Links provided are not explicit about what is expected of candidates wit respect to learning about diagnostic		SPECIALIST: Table links and course assignments have have been updated to		
Check that candidate materials clearly describe program expectations around diagnostic and early interpretion techniques.	Not Complete	unclear.	techniques and early intervention techniques.	Multiple Subject and Educational Specialist for EDEL 5100	discuss full details for diagnostic and early intervention techniques.	Complete	
5.2b. Links to specific location(s) in candidate handbooks or materials that describe for candidates what is expected of them with respect to learning about diagnostic techniques as		Candidate materials do not contain information or are			EDUCATION SPECIALIST: Revised Handbook added detailed information about candidates		
well as early intervention techniques.		unclear about program expectations related to diagnostic and early intervention techniques or the information is	Links provided are not explicit about what is expected of candidates wit respect to learning about diagnostic techniques and early intervention techniques.		learning about diagnostic and early intervention techniques w/in clinical practice.		
Check that candidate materials clearly describe program expectations around diagnostic and early intervention techniques.	Not Complete	unclear.	techniques and early intervention techniques.	Education Specialist Handbook	See explanation in handbook on pages 26 and 27 with two asterisks	Complete	
5.3b. Direct links provided to specific locations in syllabi where the content of the CA Dyslexia			Dyslexia Module by the UC/CSU California				
Guidelines is clearly identified.		Topic of dyslexia is covered in coursework, but CA	Collaborative for Neurodiversity and Learning. Provide evidence/links that show where all chapters of		MULTIPLE SUBJECT: The incorrect syllabus was added to the original document. The correct		
Check that CA Dyslexia Guidelines (all chapters) are clearly identified and required reading in one or more courses.	Not Complete	Dyslexia Guidelines are not clearly identified as required reading (listed as optional or recommended).	the CA Dyslexia Guidelines are required and incorporated in the program.	Multple Subject and Educational Specialist Dyslexia	syllabus has been added and all links have	Complete	
						- Dangert	
F. D. Constitute and data and delivers to the last the second of the CA Parish					EDUCATION SPECIALIST: Candidates take the multiple subject EDEL 5100 course and work		
5.3b. Direct links provided to specific locations in syllabi where the content of the CA Dyslexia Guidelines is clearly identified.			The only evidence provided is the Introduction to		their way through the Dyslexia assignment consisting of analyzing and disecting the		
Check that CA Dyslexia Guidelines (all chapters) are clearly identified and required reading in one or more courses.			Dyslexia Module by the UC/CSU California Collaborative for Neurodiversity and Learning.		dyslexia module in order to understand this learning target. Furthermore, students are		
		Topic of dyslexia is covered in coursework, but CA Dyslexia Guidelines are not clearly identified as required	Provide evidence/links that show where all chapters of	Multple Subject and Educational	required to read and comprehend all 14 chapters of the California Dyxlesia Guidelines whichs is listed as required reading #21 on		
	Not Complete	reading (listed as optional or recommended).	the CA Dyslesia Guidelines are required and incorporated in the program.	Specialist Dyslexia	page 53 of the syllabus. MULTIPLE SUBJECT and EDUCATIONAL	Complete	
5.3e. Candidate Information – Direct link(s) to location(s) in candidate handbooks or other candidate material that explains the program expectations around the California Dyslexia		Candidate materials do not include or are unclear/inaccurate about expectations around Dyslexia	Links provided are not explicit about program expectations around the CA Dyslexia Guidelines.		SPECIALIST: The narrative for 5.3e (p.3) has been updated. EDEL 5100 is the same course		
Guidelines.	Not Complete	Guidelines.		Multiple Subject	for MS and ES candidates	Complete	
S.3e. Candidate Information – Direct link(s) to location(s) in candidate handbooks or other		Candidate materials do not include or are			EDUCATION SPECIALIST: Revised Handbook		
sade Candidate material that explains the program expectations around the California Dyslexia Guidelines.		unclear/inaccurate about expectations around Dyslexia Guidelines.	Links provided are not explicit about program expectations around the CA Dyslexia Guidelines.		added detailed information about program expecations for teacher candidates regarding		
	Not Complete			Education Specialist Handbook	the California Dyslexia Guidelines. See explanation in handbook on page 27 with three astericks	Complete	
	Not Complete			Education Specialist Handbook		Complete	
			Incomplete- links go to front page of documents.		The Multiple Subject table title has been updated to include the Educational Specialist credentials. EDEL 5100 is the common Literacy		
Syllabus spot check: Links should appear to go to a specific assignment or assessment that			Please clarify if the Multiple Subject table provided in 3.1 (TPE 7.5) applies to the Education Specialist programs. If		course for these programs. Where appropriate, links for additional Educational		
dearly incorporate the language of this element of the TPE			so, please title the document accordingly or make this clear in the Education Specialist section.		Specialist courses have been added to their narrative. Documents		
			See General Comments regarding organization of		within the Multiple Subject and Educational Specialist have been updated to go directly to		
	Not Complete	Link goes to the top of the syllabi instead of a specific place in the syllabi or other documentation	submission. It is difficult to identify where in the syllabus the reviewer should be looking.	Multiple and Educational Specialist EDEL 5100	the area. Each document has a "Go To Top of Document" at the top of each page.	Complete	
	Program documents include informa	tion about RICA but do not indicate that RICA will be replace	ced with the literacy performance assessment.				
General Comments	to scroll back-and-forth to sections w	ers may have difficulty accessing information as evidence (s then the section is lengthy (50+ pages). Commission is not a	sking CSUB to change anything, but this may be an issue		MULTIPLE SUBJECT: Handbook has been updated to explain that the Literacy		
	with external reviewers as well in examining the remainder of the submission. Commission staff will communicate if that is the case.			Multiple Subject Handbook	Performance Assessment will replace the TPA Cycle 2 on page 14 of the handbook.	Complete	
	Program documents include informa	tion about RICA but do not indicate that RICA will be replace	ced with the literacy performance assessment.				
General Comments	Organization of Submission - reviews	ers may have difficulty accessing information as evidence (s	yllabi) are contained within a single document. It is difficult		EDUCATION SPECIALIST: Revised Handbook added information about the Literacy	Ì	

Organization of Submission - reviewers may have difficulty accessing information as evidence (syllabs) are contained writin a serger or, to scroll back-and-forth to sections when the section is lengthy (50° pages). Commission is not asking CSUB to change anything, but th with external reviewers as well in examining the remainder of the submission. Commission staff will communicate if that is the case.